Possibility of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as S-wave $D^{(*)}N$ molecular states

Jian-Rong Zhang

Department of Physics, College of Science, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, Hunan, People's Republic of China

In this talk, we give a brief review of our work studying the possibility of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as S-wave $D^{(*)}N$ molecular states from QCD sum rules.

PACS numbers: 11.55.Hx, 12.38.Lg, 12.39.Mk

arXiv:1405.0919v1 [hep-ph] 2 May 2014

In 2005, Belle Collaboration observed an isotriplet of new states $\Sigma_c(2800)$ [1], the neutral one of which was confirmed by Babar Collaboration [2]. Moreover, Babar collaboration reported the observation of $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ [3], which was confirmed by Belle Collaboration [4].

There have appeared two different ways to understand the inner structures of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$. From a potential model prediction, their masses are close to theoretical values of Σ_c^* with $J^P=\frac{3}{2}^-$ or $\frac{5}{2}^-$ and Λ_c^* with $J^P=\frac{5}{2}^-$ or $\frac{3}{2}^+$, respectively [5]. One way of studies grounds on the assignments of them as conventional charmed baryons [6–12]. Another way bases on the assumption that they are some molecular candidates [13–19]. In particular, Ortega *et al.* studied $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as a D^*N molecule with $J^P=\frac{3}{2}^-$ in a constituent quark model, and claimed obtaining a mass which agrees with the experimental data [20].

To investigate the possibility of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as the S-wave DN state with $J^P=\frac{1}{2}^-$ and the S-wave D^*N state with $J^P=\frac{3}{2}^-$ respectively, we devoted to studying them in Ref. [21] employing the method of QCD sum rules [22] (for reviews see [23–27] and references therein; Particularly, many theorists began to study light pentaquark states in [28, 29] and heavy ones in [30]).

The basic point of QCD sum rules is to construct a proper interpolating current to represent the studied state. At present, molecular currents are built up with the color-singlet currents of composed hadrons to form hadron-hadron configurations of fields. One could find meson currents in Ref. [31] and nucleon ones in [32]. Therefore, we build following forms of currents:

$$j = (\bar{q}^{c'} i\gamma_5 Q^{c'})(\varepsilon_{abc} q_1^{Ta} C\gamma_\mu q_2^b \gamma_5\gamma^\mu q_3^c), \tag{1}$$

for the S-wave DN or $\bar{B}N$ molecular state with $J^P=\frac{1}{2}^-$, and

$$j^\rho = (\bar{q}^{c'} \gamma^\rho Q^{c'})(\varepsilon_{abc} q_1^{Ta} C\gamma_\mu q_2^b \gamma_5\gamma^\mu q_3^c), \tag{2}$$

for the S-wave D^*N or $\bar{B}^*N$ molecular state with $J^P=\frac{3}{2}^-$. Here Q is heavy quark c or b, and q_1, q_2, and q_3 denote light quarks u and/or d. The index T means matrix transposition, C is the charge conjugation matrix, with a, b, c and c' as color indices.

We derive QCD sum rules for molecular states with similar techniques as our previous works on heavy baryons [33] and molecular states [34]. Ultimately, one can gain mass sum rules [21]

$$M_H^2 = \left\{\int_{m_Q^2}^{s_0} ds\rho_1(s) s e^{-s/M^2} + d/d(-\frac{1}{M^2})\hat{B}\Pi_1^{\rm cond}\right\} / \left\{\int_{m_Q^2}^{s_0} ds\rho_1(s) e^{-s/M^2} + \hat{B}\Pi_1^{\rm cond}\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where M_H is the mass of the hadronic resonance, s_0 is the threshold parameter, and M^2 indicates the Borel parameter. The spectral density $\rho_1(s)$ is given by the correlator's imaginary part. In its calculation, one works at leading order in α_s and considers condensates up to dimension 12. To keep the heavy-quark mass finite, one can use the momentum-space expression for the heavy-quark propagator [31]. The light-quark part of the correlator can be calculated in the coordinate space employing the light-quark propagator, which is then Fourier-transformed to the momentum space in D dimension. The resulting light-quark part is combined with the heavy-quark part before it is dimensionally regularized at $D=4$.

Numerically, one could take input parameters as $m_c = 1.23 \pm 0.05$ GeV, $m_b = 4.24 \pm 0.06$ GeV, $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle = -(0.23 \pm 0.03)^3$ GeV^3, $\langle g\bar{q}\sigma \cdot Gq\rangle = m_0^2\ \langle\bar{q}q\rangle$, $m_0^2 = 0.8 \pm 0.1$ GeV^2, $\langle g^2G^2\rangle = 0.88$ GeV^4, and $\langle g^3G^3\rangle = 0.045$ GeV^6 [24]. For the present case, it may have some difficulty to find a standard work window critically satisfying all the conventional rules, which has been discussed in detail for some other cases [35–38]. By releasing the rigid OPE convergence criterion, one could choose some transition range as a compromise Borel window and arrive at $3.75 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.08$ GeV for the S-wave DN state with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$. To investigate the effect of different factorization of $\langle q\bar{q}q\bar{q}\rangle = \kappa\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$, we average three results for $\kappa = 1 \sim 3$ and arrive at the mass value 3.64 ± 0.33 GeV concisely for the S-wave DN state with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, which is somewhat higher than the experimental value of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ even considering the result's uncertainty. For the S-wave $\bar{B}N$ state with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, one can obtain the mass value 6.97 ± 0.34 GeV. Similarly, the final result is 3.73 ± 0.35 GeV for the S-wave D^*N state with $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$, which is greater than the experimental data of $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ even taking into account the uncertainty. For the mass of S-wave $\bar{B}^*N$ state with $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$, one could gain 6.98 ± 0.34 GeV.

In summary, we have studied the possibility of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as S-wave $D^{(*)}N$ states using QCD sum rules in Ref. [21]. The final results are respectively greater than the experimental data of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$. In view of that corresponding molecular currents are constructed from local operators of hadrons, the possibility of $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ as molecular states can not be arbitrarily excluded merely from these disagreements between molecular masses using local currents and experimental data. However, one can infer that $\Sigma_c(2800)$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ could not be compact states from these results. This may suggest a limitation of the QCD sum rule using the local current to determine whether some state is a molecular state or not. As byproducts, masses for their bottom partners are predicted to be 6.97 ± 0.34 GeV for the S-wave $\bar{B}N$ state of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and 6.98 ± 0.34 GeV for the S-wave $\bar{B}^*N$ state of $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contract Nos. 11105223, 10947016, 11275268, the Foundation of NUDT (No. JC11-02-12), and the project in NUDT for excellent youth talents.

[1] R. Mizuk *et al.*, (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 122002 (2005).
[2] B. Aubert *et al.*, (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **78**, 112003 (2008).
[3] B. Aubert *et al.*, (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 012001 (2007).
[4] R. Mizuk *et al.*, (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 262001 (2007).
[5] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 2809 (1986).
[6] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustova, and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 612 (2008).
[7] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 014006 (2007).
[8] C. Chen, X. L. Chen, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094017 (2007).
[9] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 074008 (2008).
[10] H. Garcilazo, J. Vijande, and A. Valcarce, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **34**, 961 (2007).
[11] B. Chen, D. X. Wang, and A. Zhang, Chin. Phys. C **33**, 1327 (2009).
[12] J. He, Z. Ouyang, X. Liu, and X. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 114010 (2011).
[13] M. F. M. Lutz and E. E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A **755**, 29c (2005).
[14] C. E. Jiménez-Tejero, A. Ramos, and I. Vidaña, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 055206 (2009).
[15] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074011 (2010); Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014006 (2010).
[16] X. G. He, X. Q. Li, X. Liu, and X. Q. Zeng, Eur. Phys. J. C **51**, 883 (2007).

[17] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, S. Kumano, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 034035 (2010); Phys. Rev. D **83**, 094005 (2011).

[18] J. He and X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 114029 (2010).

[19] C. García-Recio *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 054004 (2009).

[20] P. G. Ortega, D. R. Entem, and F. Fernández, arXiv:1210.2633 [hep-ph].

[21] J. R. Zhang, arXiv:1212.5325 [hep-ph], to appear in Phys. Rev. D (2014).

[22] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147**, 385 (1979); **B147**, 448 (1979); V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Fortschr. Phys. **32**, 585 (1984).

[23] B. L. Ioffe, in The Spin Structure of The Nucleon, edited by B. Frois, V. W. Hughes, and N. de Groot (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).

[24] S. Narison, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **17**, 1 (2002).

[25] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, in At the Frontier of Particle Physics: Handbook of QCD, edited by M. Shifman, Boris Ioffe Festschrift Vol. 3 (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001), pp. 1495-1576; A. Khodjamirian, Continuous Advances in QCD 2002/ARKADYFEST, arXiv:0209166 [hep-ph].

[26] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2451 (1992); Phys. Rep. **245**, 259 (1994).

[27] M. Nielsen, F. S. Navarra, and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rep. **497**, 41 (2010).

[28] S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett **91**, 232002 (2003); W. Wei, P. Z. Huang, H. X. Chen, and S. L. Zhu, JHEP **07**, 015 (2005).

[29] R. D. Matheus, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, R. Rodrigues da Silva, and S. H. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **578**, 323 (2004); J. Sugiyama, T. Doi, and M. Oka, Phys. Lett. B **581**, 167 (2004); M. Eidemuller, Phys. Lett. B **597**, 314 (2004); Z. G. Wang, W. M. Yang, and S. L. Wan, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 034012 (2005).

[30] H. Kim, S. H. Lee, and Y. Oh, Phys. Lett. B **595**, 293 (2004).

[31] L. J. Reinders, H. R. Rubinstein, and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rep. **127**, 1 (1985).

[32] B. L. Ioffe, Nucl. Phys. **B188**, 317 (1981); E. V. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. **B198**, 83 (1982).

[33] J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094002 (2008); Phys. Rev. D **78**, 094007 (2008); Phys. Lett. B **674**, 28 (2009).

[34] J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 056004 (2009); J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **37**, 025005 (2010); Commun. Theor. Phys. **54**, 1075 (2010); J. R. Zhang, M. Zhong, and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Lett. B **704**, 312 (2011).

[35] H. X. Chen, A. Hosaka, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Lett. B **650**, 369 (2007).

[36] Z. G. Wang, Nucl. Phys. A **791**, 106 (2007).

[37] R. D. Matheus, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, and R. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 056005 (2007).

[38] J. R. Zhang, L. F. Gan, and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 116007 (2012); J. R. Zhang and G. F. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 116006 (2012); J. R. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 076008 (2013).